### School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2023/24 s.y. School-based Grant—Programme Report

#### Name of School: SALEM-Immanuel Lutheran College

Staff-in-charge: Ms. Yu Suk Yin	Contact Telephone No.: 26673129	

- A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this Programme is <u>48</u> (including A. <u>8</u> Comprehensive Social Security Assistance (CSSA) recipients, B. <u>25</u> full grant recipients under the Student Financial Assistance (SFA) Schemes and C. <u>15</u> under school's discretionary quota).
- **B.** Information on subsidised activities

*Name /Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc.)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)		
	А	В	C								
Tutor fee for musical classes	6	13	10	85%	October 2022 – August 2023	\$16,642	<ul> <li>Feedback of the tutor</li> <li>Students' attendance</li> <li>dTeachers' observation</li> </ul>		Students actively participated in musical performances & competitions, which enhanced their self-confidence.		
Coach fee for sports teams	2	11	5	90%	October 2022 – August 2023	\$9,505	<ul> <li>Feedback of the coach</li> <li>Students' attendance</li> <li>EAC report and evaluation</li> </ul>		Students showed enthusiasm and developed a strong sense of belonging.		
Life-wide-Learning activities	0	1	0	100%	2-9 March 2024	\$80	<ul><li>Attendance record</li><li>Students' reflection</li></ul>				
Total no. of activities:											
@No. of man-times	8	25	15		Total Expenses	\$26,227					
**Total no. of man-times	48				Tour Expenses	Φ20,227					

Note:

- \* Types of activities are categorised as follows: tutorial services, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art and cultural activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer services, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.
- @ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.
- \*\* Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

# Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), full grant under the SFA Schemes (B) and needy students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

# C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

	Improved			No		Not	
Please put a " $\checkmark$ " against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate Slight		Change	Declining	Applicable	
Learning Effectiveness							
a) Students' motivation for learning		✓					
b) Students' study skills						✓	
c) Students' academic achievement			✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom	✓						
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness	✓						
Personal and Social Development							
f) Students' self-esteem		✓					
g) Students' self-management skills		✓					
h) Students' social skills		✓					
i) Students' interpersonal skills		✓					
j) Students' cooperativeness with others	✓						
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling	✓						
1) Students' outlook on life		✓					
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social		✓					
development							
Community Involvement						-	
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities	~						
o) Students' sense of belonging	✓						
p) Students' understanding on the community		✓					
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement	$\checkmark$						

## **D.** Comments on the project conducted

(You may tick more than one box.)	
$\checkmark$ unable to identify the eligible students (i.e. students receiving CSSA and full grant under the	
SFA Schemes);	
$\checkmark$ difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;	
eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify the reason(s) :	);
the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;	
tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;	
✓ the amount of administrative work leads to <u>apparent</u> increase on teachers' workload;	
complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;	
the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;	
Others (Please specify):	

# E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

More students would like to extend their potentials by joining different classes, but

the subsidies for some classes are too low, leaving students hesitant.